DALTON FULL PAPER

Reaction of tris[(diphenylphosphino)dimethylsilyl]methane with molybdenum hexacarbonyl and deprotonation to give a salt with a planar carbanion. Crystal structures of $(Ph_2PMe_2Si)_3CH$ and $[Li(tmen)_2][C(SiMe_2PPh_2)_3]$, tmen = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine

Anthony G. Avent, Dominique Bonafoux, Colin Eaborn,* Sushil K. Gupta, Peter B. Hitchcock and J. David Smith*

School of Chemistry, Physics and Environmental Science, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK BN1 9QJ. E-mail: C. Eaborn@sussex.ac.uk; J.D. Smith@sussex.ac.uk

Received 17th November 1998, Accepted 21st January 1999

The compound $(Ph_2PMe_2Si)_3CH$ I reacted (i) with $[Mo(CO)_6]$ to give cis- $[Mo(CO)_4\{(Ph_2PMe_2Si)_3CH\}]$ 1 in which two phosphine groups are co-ordinated to molybdenum and one is free, and (ii) with LiBu in the presence of N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine (tmen) to give $[Li(tmen)_2][C(SiMe_2PPh_2)_3]$ 2, which contains discrete planar carbanions and no Li–P co-ordination. The crystal structures of compounds I and 2 have been determined and 1 has been characterised spectroscopically.

We have been able to isolate a wide range of novel types of organometallic compounds by attaching the bulky ligands $C(SiMe_3)_3$ or $C(SiMe_2Ph)_3$ to metal centres.¹ Recently the emphasis in our research has moved towards use of ligands of the type C(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂X) and C(SiMe₂X)₃ that have similar bulk around the metal centre to which they are attached but also contain groups X bearing lone pairs capable of coordinating intra- or inter-molecularly to the metal. Those used previously include $C(SiMe_3)_2(SiMe_2X)$ with $X = OMe^2$ or SMe^3 and $C(SiMe_2X)_3$ with $X = OMe^4$ or NMe_2 .^{5,6} In particular, the lithium derivative LiC(SiMe2NMe2)3, made by metallation of the ligand precursor (Me₂NMe₂Si)₃CH, adopts a linear polymeric structure in which the planar lithium ion, co-ordinated by three NMe2 groups, is well separated from the planar carbanionic centre. Moreover, the highly unusual Grignard reagent (Me₂NMe₂Si)₃CMgI has a planar carbanionic centre without specific interaction with the magnesium atom. We now describe the synthesis of the analogous phosphorus-containing ligand precursor I, the molybdenum complex 1, and the lithium derivative 2 obtained from the reaction between I and LiBu/tmen (tmen = N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine).

$$(Ph_{2}PMe_{2}Si)_{3}CH \quad I \\ Ph_{2} \quad Me_{2}^{AB} \\ (OC)_{4}Mo \quad CHSiMe_{2}^{c}PPh_{2} \\ Ph_{2} \quad Me_{2} \\ Ph_{2} \quad Me_{2} \\ LiP\{C(SiMe_{3})_{2}\}(C_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}NMe_{2})_{2} \quad 3 \\$$

Results and discussion

The precursor I was obtained in good yield from the reaction between (BrMe₂Si)₃CH⁷ and KPPh₂ in tetrahydrofuran (thf) and characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the expected signals assigned to SiMe₂, CH and Ph protons. The ¹³C and ²⁹Si spectra showed complex multiplets which were simulated by the PANIC program. The signal from the *ipso*-carbon of the phenyl group was analysed as

the A part of an AXY $_2$ system, with the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ isotope shift at phosphorus $|\delta_{\mathbf{X}} - \delta_{\mathbf{Y}}| = 0.028$. The signal from the *ortho* carbon was also analysed as the A part of an AXY2 spin system but with $|\delta_{\rm X} - \delta_{\rm Y}| = 0.009$; that from the *meta*-carbon was analysed as the A part of an AXX'2 spin system, as the isotope shift is attenuated over three bonds. The presence of a ¹³C nucleus in a methyl site makes the two remote 31P nuclei magnetically inequivalent so that the CH₃ signal appears as the A part of an AXYY' system with $|\delta_{\rm X} - \delta_{\rm Y}| = 0.006$. The ²⁹Si signal was analysed as the A part of an AXY₂ system with $|\delta_X - \delta_Y|$ (arising from the 29 Si/ 28 Si isotope shift at P) = 0.011. The 29 Si satellites from the quartet assigned to the tertiary carbon showed that the coupling constant ${}^{1}J_{SIC}$ is 33.2 Hz [cf. 38.7 Hz for (Me₃Si)₃CH⁸ and 42 Hz for (Me₂NSiMe₂)₃CH⁵]. The phosphorus chemical shift, δ -51, is close to that, δ -56.8, for diphenyl(trimethylsilyl)phosphine.9 Crystals of compound I suitable for an X-ray study were obtained from heptane-thf.

The reaction between compound I and molybdenum hexacarbonyl gave a white solid which was judged to be the complex cis-[Mo(CO)₄{(Ph₂PMe₂Si)₃CH}] 1 from (i) the mass spectrum, which showed the successive loss of four carbonyl groups from the molecular ion, (ii) the presence of four bands in the carbonyl stretching region of the infrared spectrum as required for C_{2v} symmetry, and (iii) the presence in the ²⁹Si and ³¹P NMR spectra of two signals with intensities in the ratio 2:1. These are attributed respectively to complexed SiA,BMe2PPh2 fragments (with $\delta_{\rm p}$ –21, about 30 ppm to higher frequency than the signal for I), and uncomplexed $Si^{C}Me_{2}PPh_{2}$ fragments (with δ_{P} close to that for I). The bidentate co-ordination of the ligand in 1 gives a six-membered MoPSiCSiP metallacycle and leaves one free SiMe₂PPh₂ fragment. The presence of three signals in each region of the ¹H and ¹³C spectra, corresponding to the methyl and phenyl substituents in uncomplexed Si^CMe₂PPh₂ groups and SiA,BMe2PPh2 groups on either side of the ring, shows that the chelate structure is preserved in solution and is not fluxional on the NMR timescale. In several other complexes MoL(CO)₄ the ligand L containing three phosphorus centres, e.g. $(Ph_2P)_3CH^{10}$ or $(Ph_2PCH_2)_3CCH_3$, 11,12 is bidentate.

Compound I was readily metallated by LiBu in the presence of tmen. The product 2 was obtained as pale yellow

Table 1 Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in (Ph₂PSiMe₂)₃CH I and [(Ph₂PSiMe₂)₃C]

	(Ph ₂ PSiMe ₂) ₃ CH I 1.898(5) " 1.871(6) " 2.275(2) " 1.837(5) "			$[(Ph_2PSiMe_2)_3C]^-$			
Si–C Si–Me P–Si P–Ph				1.809(7) ^a 1.879(8) ^a 2.323(3) ^a 1.837(8) ^a			
	Si1	Si2	Si3	Si1	Si2	Si3	
Si-C-Si	114.7(3)	112.1(3)	114.5(3)	120.7(4)	120.2(4)	118.6(4)	
Ph-P-Ph	101.9(2)	101.9(2)	103.0(2)	102.4(3)	105.2(4)	102.2(4)	
Si-P-Ph	101.1(2)	107.9(2)	97.7(2)	106.0(3)	106.3(3)	104.2(3)	
	106.5(3)	98.7(2)	106.8(2)	101.4(3)	102.2(3)	106.8(3)	
Me-Si-Me	107.1(3)	106.3(3)	104.6(3)	104.0(4)	105.9(4)	103.4(4)	
C-Si-Me	116.1(2)	116.1(2)	118.1(2)	114.9(4)	114.6(3)	116.6(3)	
	111.5(3)	110.8(3)	110.6(3)	116.6(3)	117.2(3)	114.7(3)	
C-Si-P	107.9(2)	108.0(2)	107.3(2)	112.7(2)	114.1(3)	110.8(3)	
Me-Si-P	103.4(2) 110.1(2)	111.5(2) 103.4(2)	109.4(2) 106.3(2)	105.3(3) 101.8(3)	103.3(2) 99.8(3)	102.3(3) 107.8(3)	

^a Mean value. Numbers in parentheses indicate the precision of individual measurements, none of which differed significantly from the mean.




Fig. 1 Molecular structure of (Ph₂PMe₂Si)₃CH I.

C27 C24 C12 C13 C5 C13 C14 C15 C15 C15 C27 C24 C16 C17 C34 C35 C32 C36 C27 C28 C29 C21 C20 C30 C39 C43 C39 C40 C40 C40

Fig. 2 Structure of the anion of [Li(tmen)₂][C(SiMe₂PPh₂)₃] 2.

crystals from warm toluene and shown by an X-ray study to be ionic, with a lattice consisting of discrete [Li(tmen)₂]⁺ cations and [C(SiMe₂PPh₂)₃]⁻ anions. The CSi₃ core of the carbanion is planar, like those in [Li(thf)₄][C(SiMe₂-C₆H₄Me-o)₃]¹³ and [Li(12-crown-4)₂][C(SiMe₃)(SiMeBut₂)-(SiMe₂F)]. The ionic structure of **2** contrasts with the polymeric structure of the amino derivative LiC(SiMe₂NMe₂)₃, and the molecular structure of the recently described compound [LiP{C(SiMe₃)₂}(C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)₂] **3**, thich has a planar carbanionic centre and lithium co-ordinated both by the lone pair on phosphorus and by those on the two nitrogen atoms.

The ³¹P NMR spectrum of a thf solution of compound 2 consisted of a singlet at δ –39.4. The absence of Li–P coupling and the small difference between the chemical shifts of 2 and of the precursor I indicate that in solution, as in the solid, the phosphorus atoms in 2 are not co-ordinated to lithium.

The structures of the anion of compound 2 and the corresponding protonated species I are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. (The cation in 2 is similar to that described in several other compounds and is not discussed further here.) Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. For each species the individual Si–C, Si–Me, P–Si and P–C bond lengths differ insignificantly from the corresponding mean values, but there is considerably more variation in the bond angles, which means that neither the

anion in 2 nor the corresponding protonated species I has any crystallographic symmetry. The anion has one SiMe₂PPh₂ group on one side of the CSi3 plane and two on the other. {There is a similar configuration of SiMe₂C₆H₄Me-o groups in the anion $[C(SiMe_2C_6H_4Me-o)_3]^{-.13}$ In contrast, the molecule I is propeller-shaped, with approximate C_3 symmetry and all the SiMe₂PPh₂ groups lying on the side opposite the C-H bond. The Si-C1 distances are much shorter in the anion (mean 1.809) Å) than in the protonated species I (mean 1.898 Å) showing that the anionic charge is delocalised into C1-Si bonds, probably by negative hyperconjugation.¹⁶ Data for a number of related species are given in Table 2. The Si-C1 distances in the silyl-stabilised carbanions are all short compared with those in the corresponding silyl-substituted methanes. The bond lengths show little systematic variation with the nature of the substituent X. The Si-P bonds are longer in the anion than in I, and in other organosilylphosphines or organosilylphosphine complexes.²⁰ The P-C bond lengths are in the normal range, 2.20-2.29 Å, and all the Si-Me bond lengths are, within experimental error, the same as those in SiMe₄ [1.875(2) Å].²¹ The Si-C-Si angles are larger in the anion than in the protonated species, as expected if the ionic charge is delocalised over the CSi3 system [cf. C(SiMe₃)₃¹⁷ and C(SiMe₂Ph)₃^{1b} derivatives]. The other mean bond angles in I are similar (within 4°) to those in the anion of 2 but there is more scatter in the protonated than

Table 2 Si–C1 Distances (Å) in compounds $HC(SiMe_2X)_3$ and anions $[C(SiMeX)_3]^-$

X	HC(SiMe ₂ X) ₃	Ref.	[C(SiMeX) ₃]	Ref.		
Me Ph PPh NMe OMe Br	1.887(6) 1.895(1) 1.898(5) 1.884(5)	17 19(a) This work	1.818(10)-1.822(10) 1.800(3)-1.812(3) 1.809(7) 1.793(6) 1.805(4)	17 13 ^a This work 5 4		
^a For $C(SiMe_2C_6H_4Me-o)_3$.						

in the unprotonated species. The geometry at phosphorus is pyramidal with C-P-C and C-P-Si angles less than the tetrahedral value, as found in other silylphosphine complexes.²⁰

The chemistry of the compounds described here shows several novel features. (a) Although the organolithium compound **2** reacted in the normal way with a stoichiometric amount of MeOH- d_4 to give the expected (Ph₂PMe₂Si)₃CD in high yield, reactions with other electrophiles *e.g.* MeI or I₂ resulted in cleavage of Si–P bonds. Similar cleavages have been observed for Ph₂PSiMe₃²⁰ but it is remarkable that attack at the Si–P bond in **2** appears to occur more readily rather than that at the carbanionic centre.

- (b) There is a considerable difference in reactivity between Si–N or Si–S bonds on the one hand and Si–P bonds on the other. Whereas the compounds LiC(SiMe₂NMe₂)₃^{4,5} and LiC-(SiMe₃)₂(SiMe₂SMe)³ could each be used as a reagent for the transfer of the C-centred ligands to other metals, reactions of compound 2 with HgBr₂ and PtCl₂ did not proceed cleanly. Tetraphenyldiphosphine P₂Ph₂ was always obtained as the principal product but the additional presence of PPh₂H in some cases may indicate that PPh₂Li and PPh₂Br are intermediates in the ligand degradation. It has not been possible to isolate the silicon-containing products in a pure state.
- (c) The isolation of complex 1 suggests that in the absence of electrophiles the compound \mathbf{I} has some potential as a mono-, di- or tri-dentate ligand towards transition metals. This area of chemistry is however likely to be restricted by ligand degradation and by slow attack of \mathbf{I} on the thf commonly used as a solvent.
- (d) The stability of compound I in the absence of electrophiles is also shown by the fact that it reacts in the normal way with metal methyl derivatives, e.g. with LiBu to give the compound 2.

Experimental

Air and moisture were excluded as far as possible from all reactions by the use of standard Schlenk techniques and Ar as blanket gas. Solvents were dried by normal procedures and distilled immediately before use. The NMR spectra were recorded at 300.13 (1 H), 125.8 (13 C), 99.4 (29 Si), 121.4 (31 P) and 32.53 MHz (95 Mo) and chemical shifts are relative to SiMe₄ for H, C and Si, H₃PO₄ for P, and Ξ 6.515 for Mo. The 29 Si spectra were obtained by inverse gated decoupling and signals from ternary carbon were detected by the DEPT procedure. Coupling constants derived from PANIC simulation are accurate to \pm 0.2 Hz. The EI mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV: m/z values are given for 1 H, 12 C, 28 Si and 98 Mo.

Syntheses

 $(Ph_2PMe_2Si)_3CH\ I.\ A$ solution of $KPPh_2\ (130\ cm^3,\ 0.5\ M$ in thf) was added dropwise to $(BrMe_2Si)_3CH\ (9.08\ g,\ 21.3\ mmol)$ in thf $(100\ cm^3)$ at room temperature and the mixture stirred for 4 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue extracted with benzene $(3\times 20\ cm^3)$, the extract filtered, the solvent removed, and the residue recrystallised from thfheptane (10:1) to give colourless needles of compound I (14.2)

g, 89%), mp 192 °C (Found: C, 68.1; H, 6.4; P, 13.8. $C_{43}H_{49}P_3Si_3$ requires C, 69.5; H, 6.4; P, 12.5%). No carbon- or phosphorus-containing impurity was detected by NMR spectroscopy. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (C_6D_6) 0.51 (18 H, s, SiMe₂), 1.20 (1 H, q, $^3J_{\rm PH}$ 3.5 Hz, CH), 6.98 (18 H, m, m- and p-H) and 7.52 (12 H, m, o-H). $\delta_{\rm C}$ 1.9 (DEPT q, $^1J_{\rm SiC}$ 33.2, $^2J_{\rm CP}$ 11.1, CH), 2.0 (m, $^2J_{\rm CP}$ 8.1, $^4J_{\rm CP}$ 9.4, 0.4, $^4J_{\rm PP}$ 9.4, SiMe₂), 127.7 (p-C), 128.8 (m, $^3J_{\rm CP}$ 6.5, $^4J_{\rm PP}$ 9.4, m-C), 134.6 (m, $^2J_{\rm CP}$ 18.4, $^4J_{\rm PP}$ 9.4, o-C) and 136.5 (m, ipso-C, $^1J_{\rm CP}$ 18.6, $^5J_{\rm CP}$ -0.5, $^4J_{\rm PP}$ 9.4 Hz). $\delta_{\rm Si}$ 2.8 ($^1J_{\rm SiP}$ 27.2, $^3J_{\rm SiP}$ -3.9 Hz). $\delta_{\rm P}$ -50.6. m/z 557 (5, M - PPh₂), 370 (75, P₂Ph₄), 185 (80, PPh₂) and 183 (100%, PPh₂ - H₂).

Compound I reacted slowly (during 1 week) with thf at room temperature with formation of some P₂Ph₄, the presence of which was deduced from the ³¹P NMR spectrum.

cis-[Mo(CO)₄{(Ph₂PMe₂Si)₃CH}] 1. A suspension of [Mo-(CO)₆] (0.712 g, 2.69 mmol) and I (2.00 g, 2.69 mmol) in toluene (150 cm³) was slowly heated to reflux, then maintained at reflux for 3 h to give a red solution. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and the solvent removed to leave a yellow solid which was judged to be complex 1 (2.34 g, 90%), mp 101 °C (Found: C, 52.9; H, 5.4; Mo, 9.9; P, 9.4. C₄₇H₄₉-MoO₄P₃Si₃ requires C, 59.3; H, 5.2; Mo, 10.1; P, 9.7%). The low value for the carbon analysis is puzzling since the NMR data were fully consistent with the proposed structure. \tilde{v}_{max}/cm^{-1} 2018s, 1950 (sh), 1925s and 1880s. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (C₆D₆) 0.15 (6 H, d, ${}^3J_{\rm PH}$ 3.5, SiMe), 0.31 (6 H, d, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ 6.6, SiMe), 0.36 (6 H, d, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ 2.3, SiMe), 0.93 (1 H, d, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ 5.3 Hz, CH), 6.8–7.2 (18 H, m, m- and p-H), 7.26 (4 H, m, o-H), 7.50 (4 H, m, o-H) and 7.76 (4 H, m, *o*-H). $\delta_{\rm C}$ 0.64 (d, ${}^2J_{\rm CP}$ 14.4, SiMe₂), 2.16 (dd, ${}^2J_{\rm CP}$ 9.6, ${}^4J_{\rm CP}$ 1.2, SiMe₂), 3.25 (d, ${}^2J_{\rm CP}$ 9.3, SiMe₂), 3.45 (q, ${}^2J_{\rm CP}$ 7.2, CH), 128.06 (A of AXX', ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ 9.6, ${}^{2}J_{PP}$ ca. 2, m-C^{A,B}), 128.42 (s, p-C), 128.46 (A of AXX', ${}^{3}J_{CP}$ 9.4, ${}^{2}J_{PP}$ ca. 2, m-C^{A,B}), 128.57 (s, p-C), 129.05 (s, p-C), 129.14 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{CP}}$ 7.0, m-C^c), 133.61 (A of AXX′, ${}^{2}J_{\text{CP}}$ 10.3, ${}^{2}J_{\text{PP}}$ ca. 2, o-C^{A,B}), 134.69 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{CP}}$ 18.5, o-C^c), 134.84 (d, ¹ J_{CP} 17.5, ipso-C), 135.24 (d, $^{1}J_{CP}$ 24.0, ipso-C), 135.36 (A of AXX', $^{2}J_{CP}$ 12.3, $^{2}J_{PP}$ ca. 2, o-C^{A,B}), 137.56 (d, $^{1}J_{CP}$ 25.6, ipso-C), 206.4 (t, $^{2}J_{CP}$ 7.6, cis-CO), 215.9 (dd, $^{2}J_{CP-trans}$ 22.2, $^{2}J_{CP-cis}$ 9.4, trans-CO) and 216.3 (t, ${}^2J_{\text{CP}}$ 7.6 Hz, cis-CO). δ_{Si} -2.2 (2 Si, d, ${}^{1}J_{SiP}$ 18.7, Si^{A,B}) and 0.1 (1 Si, dt, ${}^{1}J_{SiP}$ 30.9, ${}^{3}J_{SiP}$ 7.2 Hz, Si^C). $\delta_{\rm P}$ -48.7 (1 P, t, ${}^4J_{\rm PP}$ 2.0, ${\rm P^C}$) and -17.7 (2 P, d, ${}^4J_{\rm PP}$ 2.0 Hz, ${\rm P^C}$). δ_{Mo} -1290 (Δv_2 500 Hz). m/z 924 (8, M - CO), 896 (30 M - 2CO), 868 (100, M - 3CO), 840 (55, M - 4CO) and 682 $(50, M - 3CO - PHPh_2)$ and $654 (80\%, M - 4CO - PHPh_2)$.

[Li(tmen)₂][C(SiMe₂PPh₂)₃] 2. A solution of LiBu (3.23 mmol) in hexane (1.3 cm³) was added to a mixture of I (2.0 g, 2.7 mmol) and tmen (4.5 cm³, 30 mmol) in toluene (30 cm³) at room temperature. After about 30 min an orange solid separated. This was filtered off, washed first with light petroleum (bp 40–60 °C, 2 × 10 cm³) then with benzene (3 × 10 cm³), and recrystallised from warm toluene to give pale yellow air- and moisture-sensitive plates of compound 2 (1.73 g, 65%), mp 187 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 66.1; H, 8.2; N, 5.7. C₅₅H₈₀-LiN₄P₃Si₃ requires C, 67.3; H, 8.2; N, 5.7%). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (thf-d₈) 0.16 (18 H, s, SiMe₂), 2.15 (24 H, s, NMe₂), 2.31 (8 H, s, CH₂), 6.91–7.37 (20 H, m, Ph) and 7.66–7.73 (10 H, m, Ph). $\delta_{\rm C}$ 4.75 (m, SiMe₂), 4.8 (DEPT, q, ${}^1J_{\rm SiC}$ 59.7, ${}^2J_{\rm CP}$ 20.3 Hz, CSi₃), 46.1 and 58.8 (free tmen, displaced by solvent), 125.3 (s), 127.8 (m) and 134.8 (m). $\delta_{\rm Si}$ –0.9. $\delta_{\rm P}$ –39.4.

Reactions of complex 2

With CD₃OD. The compound CD₃OD (0.4 cm³) in benzene (5 cm³) was added to a suspension of **2** (0.94 g, 0.95 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³) to give a clear solution immediately. The solvent was removed to leave the deuteriated species (Ph₂PMe₂-Si)₃CD as a white solid (0.54 g, 76%). m/z 558.188 (M – PPh₂); C₃₁H₃₈DP₂Si₃ requires m/z 558.190. The ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were identical with those for **I**; the proportion of **I**

Table 3 Crystallographic data and details of structure refinement for compounds ${\bf I}$ and ${\bf 2}$

	I	2
Empirical formula	C ₄₃ H ₄₉ P ₃ Si ₃	C ₅₅ H ₈₀ LiN ₄ P ₃ Si ₃
Formula weight	743.0	981.4
T/K	173(2)	173(2)
λ/Å	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$ (no. 14)	$P2_1/n$ (no. 14)
a/Å	18.314(4)	15.023(4)
b/Å	10.398(3)	16.592(4)
c/Å	21.859(7)	23.174(11)
β/°	100.61(2)	90.78(3)
$U/\text{Å}^3$	4091(2)	5776(3)
Z	4	4
μ /mm ⁻¹	0.26	0.20
θ Range/°	2–22	2-23
Reflections collected	5170	8371
Unique reflections	4993	8027
1	$(R_{\rm int} = 0.0357)$	$(R_{\rm int} = 0.0698)$
Reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	3229	4106
$R1$, $wR2$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.053, 0.107	0.090, 0.145
(all data)	0.105, 0.136	0.189, 0.179
Data/restraints/parameters	4992/0/446	8026/0/595

estimated from the signal at δ 1.2 in the ¹H NMR spectrum was less than 10%.

With MeI. A solution of MeI (0.36 mmol) in thf (0.72 cm³) was added to compound 2 (0.32 mmol) in thf (10 cm³) at −70 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was extracted with light petroleum to give, according to the ³¹P NMR spectrum and integration of the ¹H spectrum, a mixture of PPh₂Me (51%), and PPh₂H/P₂Ph₄ (49%), identified by comparison of the ³¹P chemical shifts with those of commercially available samples and with values in the literature.²³ With an eight-fold excess of MeI, 2 gave a white solid which was shown by its ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra ¹² to be [PMe₂Ph₂]I, isolated in 87% yield. Positive FAB MS: m/z 557 (2, [PPh₂Me₂]₂I) and 215 (90, PPh₂Me₂).

With I_2 . A solution of I_2 (0.7 mmol) in thf (0.8 cm³) was added to compound 2 (0.7 mmol) in thf (10 cm³) at -78 °C, and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature. A white solid was filtered off and the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the filtrate showed the presence of P_2Ph_4 as the only detectable phosphorus-containing thf-soluble product. The solid was not investigated further.

With metal halides. The reaction of compound 2 with PtCl₂ gave P₂Ph₄ and that with HgBr₂ gave Hg, P₂Ph₄ (75% of thf-soluble products) and PPh₂H (25%). Unidentified white solids were obtained in both reactions and their NMR spectra showed that they contained neither phosphorus nor aromatic protons.

Crystallography

Details are given in Table 3. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The H atoms were included in riding mode with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})=1.2\,U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$ except for Me groups which were fixed at idealised geometry but with the torsion angles defining the H atom position refined and $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})=1.5\,U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. The high value of R for complex 2 is a consequence of the weak diffraction from a thin plate.

CCDC reference number 186/1330.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1999/831/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the EPSRC for financial support, the EU for the award of a Marie-Curie Fellowship to D. B. and the Royal Society for a Commonwealth Visiting Fellowship for S. K. G.

References

- (a) C. Eaborn, K. Izod and J. D. Smith, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 89; (b) C. Eaborn and J. D. Smith, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1996, 154, 125; (c) C. Eaborn, W. Clegg, P. B. Hitchcock, M. Hopman, K. Izod, P. N. O'Shaughnessy and J. D. Smith, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4728; (d) C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock, K. Izod, Z.-R. Lu and J. D. Smith, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 4783.
- 2 C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock, A. Kowałewska, Z.-R. Lu, J. D. Smith and W. A. Stańczyk, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 521, 113.
- 3 D. A. Antonov, C. Eaborn, J. D. Smith, P. B. Hitchcock, E. Molla, V. I. Rozenberg, W. A. Stańczyk and A. Kowałewska, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 521, 109.
- 4 F. I. Aigbirhio, N. H. Buttrus, C. Eaborn, S. H. Gupta, P. B. Hitchcock, J. D. Smith and A. C. Sullivan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 1015.
- 5 C. Eaborn, A. Farook, P. B. Hitchcock and J. D. Smith, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 741.
- 6 C. Eaborn, A. Farook, P. B. Hitchcock and J. D. Smith, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 503.
- 7 C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock and P. D. Lickiss, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, **252**, 281.
- 8 B. Wrackmeyer and W. Biffar, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1979, 34, 1270.
- 9 H. Schumann and H.-J. Kroth, *Z. Naturforsch.*, *Teil B*, 1977, **32**, 513
- 10 J. T. Mague and S. E. Dessens, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1984, 262, 347.
 11 J. Chatt, G. J. Leigh and N. Thankarajan, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1971, 29, 105.
- 12 E. J. Fernández, M. C. Gimeno, P. G. Jones, A. Laguna, M. Laguna and E. Olmos, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 3603.
- 13 A. I. Almansour, C. Eaborn, S. A. Hawkes, P. B. Hitchcock and J. D. Smith, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 6035.
- 14 N. Wiberg, G. Wagner, G. Reber, J. Riede and G. Müller, Organometallics, 1987, 6, 35.
- 15 W. Clegg, S. Doherty, K. Izod and P. O'Shaughnessy, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1129.
- 16 P. v. R. Schleyer, T. Clark, A. J. Kos, G. W. Spitznagel, C. Rohde, D. Arad, K. N. Houk and N. G. Rondan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 6467; E. A. Brinkman, S. Berger and J. I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 8304.
- 17 P. T. Brain, M. Mehta, D. W. H. Rankin, H. E. Robertson, C. Eaborn, J. D. Smith and A. D. Webb, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 349.
- 18 C. Eaborn, N. Retta and J. D. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 905; H.-J. Cristau and Y. Ribeill, Synthesis, 1988, 911.
- 19 (a) C. Eaborn, P. B. Hitchcock and P. D. Lickiss, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 269, 235; (b) A. Farook, D.Phil. Thesis, University of Sussex, 1998.
- E. Lukevics, O. Pudova and R. Sturkovich, Molecular Structure of Organosilicon Compounds, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1989, p. 93;
 W. S. Sheldrick and A. Borkenstein, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1977, 33, 2916; J. C. Calabrese, R. T. Oakley and R. West, Can. J. Chem., 1979, 57, 1909.
- 21 B. Beagley, J. J. Monaghan and T. G. Hewitt, J. Mol. Struct., 1971, 8, 401.
- 22 E. W. Abel, R. A. N. McLean and I. H. Sabherwal, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1968, 2371; P. J. Manning, L. K. Peterson, F. Wada and R. S. Dhami, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1986, 114, 15.
- 23 G. Mavel, *Annu. Rep. N.M.R. Spectrosc.*, 1973, **5B**, 1.

Paper 8/08971J